Calculation of the temperature integrals used in the processing of thermogravimetric analysis data
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Abstract

There is no standard procedure for calculating the generalized temperature integral, instead myriads of different approximations to it are applied in the processing of thermogravimetric analysis data. This work presents first an integration procedure based on the Simpson rule that generates reference values of the generalized temperature integral. It also reviews the available representations of the temperature integral in power series, and presents the conversion of its generalized form into the form of special functions. From the comparison with the reference values from integration it was concluded that for argument values of practical interest the generalized temperature integral is best computed as the incomplete gamma function.
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Resumen

No hay un procedimiento normalizado para calcular la integral de temperatura generalizada, en lugar de eso, cantidades de aproximaciones distintas de ella son aplicadas en el procesamiento de datos de análisis termogravimétrico. Este trabajo presenta primero un procedimiento de integración basado en la regla de Simpson que genera valores de referencia de la integral de temperatura generalizada. También se realiza una revisión de las representaciones en series de potencias disponibles para la integral de temperatura, y se presenta la conversión de su forma generalizada a la forma de las funciones especiales. De la comparación con los valores de referencia obtenidos por integración se concluyó que, para valores de interés práctico de los argumentos, el mejor cálculo de la integral generalizada de temperatura se obtiene con la función gama incompleta.
In this explanatory work we focus solely on the calculation of $p^m(\alpha)$ with special functions, starting with the development of a rigorous integration method to obtain benchmark values of the temperature integral for any value of $m$ or $x$. This required two related tasks explained in Section 3, first a tiny stepsize was chosen minimizing the error associated to the integration method; and second, and an algorithmic equivalent of the infinity upper limit was established. Next, in Section 4, an overview of available direct representations of $p(x)$ in power series is presented followed by the transformation of $p^m(\alpha)$ into special functions. Finally, the choice of the incomplete gamma function ($\Gamma$) is justified by comparisons against the numerical integration results. This conclusion is relevant not only for data analysis but also for the testing or calibration of the software built in, or included with, TGA instruments which is proprietary and tends to operate as a black box.

2. Generalization of the temperature integral

In thermal kinetics the fractional extent of conversion ($\alpha$) is usually written as the product of the Arrhenius rate constant and a kinetic function $f$

$$\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = A\exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT}\right) f(\alpha) \quad (2)$$

where $R$ is the ideal gas constant, and the activation energy $E$ is also constant. But in some variants of this model the preexponential factor is a function of temperature in the form

\begin{align*}
\frac{d\alpha}{dt} &= A(\theta) \exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT}\right) f(\alpha) \\
\frac{d\alpha}{dt} &= \frac{d\alpha}{dt} \\
\end{align*}
where \( A_0 \) is a constant \(^{13} \). Integration of Eq. (2) with a constant heating rate \( \beta \) leads to (4)

\[
\int_0^\alpha \frac{da}{f(a)} = \frac{A_0}{\beta} \left( \frac{E}{R} \right)^{m+1} \left[ p_m(x) - p_m(x_0) \right]
\]

where

\[
p_m(x) = \int_x^\infty \chi^{-(m+2)} \exp(-\chi) d\chi \quad (5)
\]

is the general form of the temperature integral, being \( p \) a particular case of \( p_m \) with \( m = 0 \) and \( A = A_0 \). The integration variable \( \chi \) is dimensionless, and the temperature defines the lower limit \( x = E/RT \), but it implies that the limit \( T_0 \to 0 \) becomes \( x \to \infty \). This indeterminate limit does not allow an analytical solution, but at the same time relates \( p_m \) with the special functions, as it will be explained in Section 4. On the other hand, the upper infinity limit is certainly an issue for the numerical integration of \( p_m \), but it is solved in the next section.

For the comparisons between \( p_m \) values from formulas and from numerical integration in the following sections we chose \( m = -3, -2.5, ..., 0, ..., 3 \) because values of \( m \) between -1.5 and 2.5 in 0.5 increments have been reported for solid decomposition and gas-solid reactions \(^{13} \). It is true that temperature-dependent preexponential factors are much less common than constant \( A_s \), but our analysis of the general temperature integral covers \( p(x) \) as the particular case \( m = 0 \), and \( A = A_0 \). Lower limit values were set as \( x = 1, 2, 5, ..., 100 \) because \( x \) values in the interval \([5,100]\) have been considered of practical significance \(^{14} \).

3. Numerical integration

There are no sources of exact values for \( p_m(x) \), it does not have analytical solution and even the tables for \( p(x) \) are rare, for example the Vallet compilation was published last in 1961 \(^{1, 15, 16} \). However \( p(x) \) reference values have been obtained by means of numerical integration, with the trapezoidal rule, or the integration routine included in the software Mathematica \(^{17-20} \).

In this work the reference values were also calculated by numerical integration the with the Simpson 3/8 rule. It was chosen as a compromise between accuracy and efficiency, after considering that for the same stepsize \( h \) the most intricate methods offer a better accuracy than the simple ones, but given that accuracy is inversely proportional to the stepsize, even simple methods can produce a very low error using a tiny \( h \).

Following the Simpson 3/8 rule the temperature integral is approximated as the area sum

\[
p_m(x) = A_1 + A_2 + \cdots + A_i + \cdots \quad (6)
\]

where each term is calculated in a subinterval of length \( 3h \) with the formula

\[
A_i = \frac{3h}{8} \left[ f(x_{0,i}) + 3f(x_{1,i}) + 3f(x_{2,i}) + f(x_{3,i}) \right] \quad (7)
\]

evaluating the argument of the integral, \( f(\chi) \), at the points \( x_{0,i} = x + 3(i-1)h \), \( x_{1,i} = x_{0,i} + h \), \( x_{2,i} = x_{0,i} + 2h \), and \( x_{3,i} = x_{0,i} + 3h \) \(^{21, 22} \).
It was also necessary to define the value of $h$, but the only guideline found in the literature was a temperature stepsize of $10^{-2}$ K, which is useless as the integration variable is $x = E/RT$, not $T$ \cite{19}. In order to choose a stepsize $p_{ms}$ obtained with $h$ values from 1 down to $10^{-5}$ were probed, finding that the averaged relative difference (Figure 1) is less than 1% for any stepsize $h < 1$, and that the avgs were almost the same for $h = 10^{-4}$ and $h = 10^{-3}$. Although these results suggest that a stepsize of 0.001 is enough the reference values of $p_m(x)$ were calculated with a stricter value of $h = 10^{-5}$, which is much smaller than typical values of $x$. The associated error in the Simpson 3/8 rule is $|(3h^5/80)f^{(4)}(\xi)| = 3.75 \times 10^{-27} \times |f^{(4)}(\xi)|$, where $\xi$ is a value between the limits of integration \cite{22}.

Another issue in the numerical integration of equations (1) and (5) arises from the representation of their infinity upper limits with some finite value, namely $x_\infty$. An apparently obvious choice for $x_\infty$ is the biggest possible real number in the system, $1.79 \times 10^{308}$ in the double precision 64 bit IEEE 754 standard \cite{23-25}, but it would require an unbearable long calculation time (a back of the envelope estimation for integration with the trapezoidal rule using $h = 1$ and 1$\mu$s per step yields $3 \times 10^{294}$ years).

It was also considered defining $x_\infty$ as the value such where the argument of the integral vanishes, that is $f(x_\infty) \approx 0 \approx \sigma$, where $\sigma$ is the tiniest possible real number in the system ($\sigma = 4.94 \times 10^{-324}$ for double precision variables, standard IEEE 754). In this way

$$\ln \sigma = -(m + 2) \ln(x_\infty) - x_\infty \quad \text{(8)}$$

and the results of solving this equation for $-3 \leq m \leq 3$ lead to $x_\infty = 750$. However, this hypothetical upper limit choice is computationally wasteful because for most of the $A_i$ terms in Eq. (6) the addition is irrelevant and unfeasible. It is illustrated here with an extreme example: using $h = 10^{-5}$ and $m = -3$ the result is $p_{-3}(100) = 3.76 \times 10^{-42}$, but the value of $A_i(\chi = 600)$ is $4.77 \times 10^{-263}$, and the following $A_i$s are even smaller.

Due to the order of magnitude difference, $10^{-42}$ vs. $10^{-263}$, the addition of $A_i(\chi = 600)$ and the following $A_i$s does not alter the resulting value of $p_m$, it would only change its 221th and following digits, which are irrelevant in the sum. Moreover, these hundreds of digits do not exist, and are unnecessary, because the calculations of TGA data analysis are carried out with standard real variables of 15-17 decimal digits, which provide enough precision for the estimation of parameters (we emphasize that hypothetical number representations with hundreds of digits are not necessary for TGA data analysis).
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Figure 2. Areas from Simpson 3/8 rule integration ($A_i$, Eq.(7), upper half) and result $p_m(x) = \sum_i A_i$ (Eq.(6), lower half) as function of $i$. Results are shown for $m = -3, \ldots, 3$ with $x = 1$

A static upper limit $x_{\infty}$ was discarded after considering the reasons in the previous paragraph, but Figure 2 shows that the integral argument in $p_m(x)$ decreases asymptotically to 0, suggesting to use the point where it becomes negligible as an equivalent to $x_{\infty}$. In this way the area sum of Eq. (6) is stopped in a dominant term, identified with the index $i_{\infty}$ such that the subsequent terms do not numerically add to the result. Given that

$$p_m(x) = A_1 + A_2 + \ldots + A_{i_{\infty}-1} + A_{i_{\infty}} + A_{i_{\infty}+1} + A_{i_{\infty}+2} + \ldots$$

and

$$A_1 > A_2 > \ldots > A_{i_{\infty}} > A_{i_{\infty}+1} > A_{i_{\infty}+2} > \ldots$$

(9)

the calculation is stopped at $A_{i_{\infty}}$ and given that all subsequent areas are negligible

$$\sum_{i_{\infty}}^{i_{\infty}-1} A_i + \sum_{i_{\infty}}^{i_{\infty}-1} A_i = \sum_{i_{\infty}}^{i_{\infty}-1} A_i,$$

(10)

then, dividing by $\sum_{i_{\infty}}^{i_{\infty}-1} A_i$

$$1 + \sum_{i_{\infty}}^{i_{\infty}-1} \frac{A_i}{\sum_{i_{\infty}}^{i_{\infty}-1} A_i} = 1.$$

(11)

The index $i_{\infty}$ is identified using the floating point arithmetic’s machine epsilon, which is $\text{eps} = 2^{-52} \approx 2.220 \times 10^{-16}$ for 64 bit double precision variables (25). It is the amount such that numerically

$$1 + \text{eps} = 1$$

(12)

hence, from the analogy between equations (11) and (12) $i_{\infty}$ is the index $i$ of the first $A_i$ such that

$$A_{i_{\infty}}/\sum_{i_{\infty}}^{i_{\infty}-1} A_i \leq \text{eps}$$

(13)

and the calculation is stopped when such condition is reached. Therefore, from Eq. (7) the equivalent upper integral limit is $x_{\infty} = x_{3,i_{\infty}}$, where $i_{\infty}$ is the last term in the sum.

Figure 3. Upper limit, $x_{\infty}$, for the integral $p_m$, calculated from $i_{\infty}$ in Eq. (13). The right plot is a zoom of the area in the red rectangle. Results are shown for different $m$ values

The use of $i_{\infty}$ produced $x_{\infty}$ values well below 750, as shown in Figure 3, and allowed to compute the temperature integrals in a reasonable time. For the same $x$ (lower limit of temperature integral), $x_{\infty}$ decreases with the power $m$ because it produces smaller $f(x)$ values, and the area sum reaches the point $i_{\infty}$ where $A_{i_{\infty}}$ becomes insignificant with less terms. On the other hand, higher $x$ values produce smaller initial $A_i$ values and it is compensated with more terms for the sum $\sum_{i_{\infty}}^{i_{\infty}-1} A_i$ in Eq. (13), consequently $x_{\infty}$ increases with $x$. 
Evaluation of the temperature integral through numerical integration seems less efficient than the use of special functions, but it has not been extensively checked: in the only one comparison found in the literature the execution time of the trapezoidal rule integration is longer than in the Senum-Yang approximation by a factor of 10000 \cite{19}. However, numerical integration can be longer than necessary if the stepsize is too small (the number of evaluations is inversely proportional to $h$), albeit this minimize the implicit truncation error. In fact it was observed that even results obtained with $h \approx 1$ can have an acceptable accuracy. Due to this the effect of stepsize was analyzed comparing execution times from numerical integration and the incomplete gamma function (the representation of $p(x)$ in terms of $\Gamma$ is explained in the next section), measured in the same computer, that is, with the same combination of hardware and software, for $10^{-5} \leq h \leq 1$. The results in Figure 4 show that Simpson 3/8 integration requires more time than the incomplete gamma function, unless stepsize is close to 1.

4. Calculation based on formulas

Despite the computational raw power available in current computers it is more practical to have a representation of $p(x)$ as a function than calculating it from numerical integration (in this work with Simpson 3/8 rule) each time it is required. However, the representations of $p(x)$ found in the literature have limitations. The series

$$p(x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{x} + \gamma + \ln(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n x^n}{n \cdot n!}, \quad (14)$$

where $\gamma = 0.5772156649 \ldots$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant, is valid for $x < \pi$ \cite{15,26}. In the same way the expansion in series of Bernoulli numbers

$$p(x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{x^2} \left(-3.5 \times 10^{-6} + \frac{0.998710}{x} + \frac{1.94876}{x^2} + \cdots\right), \quad (15)$$

is valid for $x \leq 2$ \cite{127}. Multiple integration by parts generates the asymptotic expansion

$$p(x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{x^2} \left(1 - \frac{2!}{x} + \frac{3!}{x^2} + \cdots - \frac{(-1)^i (i+1)!}{x^i} \right) + \cdots, \quad (16)$$

but it is reliable only for large $x$ values, namely $x > 20$ \cite{1,15}. The Schlömilch expansion

$$p(x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{x(x+1)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{x+2} + \frac{1}{(x+2)(x+3)} - \frac{1}{(x+2) \ldots (x+4)} + \cdots\right), \quad (17)$$

has been used occasionally to produce $p(x)$ tables, but it is limited and its results may not be precise \cite{1,15,27,28}.

To overcome the limitations of the available $p(x)$ representations (Eqs. 14-17) the values of the temperature integrals were rewritten in terms of the more common special functions: the exponential integrals $E_1$ and $E_n$; and the incomplete gamma function, $\Gamma$. In this way $p(x)$ becomes

$$p(x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{x} - E_1(x) \quad (18)$$

while there are two possible forms for $p_m$:

$$p_m(x) = x^{-(m+1)} E_{m+2}(x) \quad (19)$$

and
\[ p_m(x) = \Gamma(-m, x), \quad (20) \]

which is a consequence of the special case \(^n\Gamma(1 - n, x). \quad (21)\)

Moreover, the temperature integral \(p(x)\) can also be written in terms of these \(p_m\) expressions, with \(m = 0\)

\[ p(x) = x^{-1}E_2(x) = \Gamma(-1, x). \]

Special functions are defined as

\[ E_1(x) = \int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt, \quad (22) \]

\[ E_n(x) = \int_{1}^{\infty} t^{-n} \exp(-xt) dt, \quad (23) \]

and

\[ \Gamma(a, x) = \int_{x}^{\infty} t^{a-1} e^{-t} dt. \quad (24) \]

The exponential integral \(E_1\) was calculated with the common series expansion

\[ E_1(x) = -\left( \gamma + \ln x + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^n}{n \cdot n!} \right), \quad (25) \]

but in this work it was found that for \(x > 10\) it results necessary to use the alternate divergent series form

\[ E_1(x) = \frac{\exp(-x)}{x} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{n!}{(-x)^n}, \quad (26) \]

with \(N = 15\) to obtain complete agreement with values tabulated in the Handbook of Mathematical Functions \(^32\). The incomplete gamma function was calculated with the continued fraction

\[ \Gamma(x, a) = e^{-x}x^a \left( \frac{1}{x+1} - a \frac{1}{x+2} - a \frac{2}{x+3} - \ldots \right), \quad (27) \]

using the Lentz algorithm \(^{21}\). \(\Gamma(a, x)\) was evaluated even with non-integer and negative values of \(a\), and these results were checked with values from Wolfram’s function site \(^{33}\). The numerical evaluation of \(E_n(x)\) is very similar to the procedure for \(\Gamma(a, x)\) because this exponential integral is a special case of the incomplete gamma function \(^{21, 31, 34}\)

\[ E_n(x) = x^{n-1} \Gamma(1 - n, x) \quad (28) \]

with

\[ E_0(x) = \exp(-x)/x \]

and

\[ E_n(0) = 1/(n - 1). \]

In the general case with \(0 \leq x \leq 1\)

\[ E_n(x) = \frac{(-x)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \left[ -\ln x - y + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r!} \right] \]

\[ -\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x)^r}{(r-n+1)r!} \quad (29) \]

and the result if \(x \approx 1\) comes from the continued fraction

\[ E_n(x) = e^{-x} \left( \frac{1}{x+n} \right) \sum_{n=0}^{2(n+1)} \frac{2(n+1)}{x+n+2-x+n+4} \ldots \). \quad (30) \]

As expected \(p(x)\) results from \(p_{m=0}(x)\), \(x^{-1}E_2(x)\), and \(\Gamma(-1, x)\) coincided, but a further comparison of \(p_m(x)\) against numerical integration is presented in the following section.

5. Comparison

Temperature integral values from \(E_1\), \(E_2\), and \(\Gamma\) (see Section 4) were compared against the reference results defining the relative error as

\[ \text{err} = \left| \frac{p_m(x) - p_{m,\text{intg}}(x)}{p_{m,\text{intg}}(x)} \right| \quad (31) \]

where \(p_{m,\text{intg}}\) is the reference value obtained from Simpson 3/8 numerical integration with \(h = 10^{-5}\).
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For \( p_m(x) = x^{-(m+1)} E_{m+2}(x) \) it was found that \( \text{err} < 2 \times 10^{-10} \), except for \( p_m(1) \) with \( m = -3 \) or \( m = -2.5, ..., -1.5, ... , 2.5 \). This is an effect of “forcing” noninteger or negative \( n \) values as arguments of the \( E_n \) function, which was originally conceived for integer \( n \) values with \( n > 1 \) (21).

Results for \( p_m(x) \) included \( m = 0 \), therefore \( \text{err} < 2 \times 10^{-10} \) also for \( p(x) = p_0(x) = x^{-1} E_2(x) \), for all \( x \) tested. Similar results were obtained for \( p(x) \) calculated with \( E_1 \) except with \( x = 10 \) and \( x = 20 \). This suggests that it is preferable to evaluate \( p(x) \) as \( p_{m=0}(x) = x^{-1} E_2(x) \) to get a consistent relative error.

Results from incomplete gamma function, including \( m = 0 \), are summarized as follows:

For \( p_m(x) = \Gamma(-(m+1), x) \) \( \text{err} < 2 \times 10^{-10} \) in all cases, including non-integer \( m \) values.

Results from \( E_m \) and \( \Gamma \) produced very similar relative error values, except in the aforementioned case \( p_m(1) \) with \( m = -3 \) or \( m = -2.5, ..., -1.5, ... , 2.5 \).

6. Conclusion

The temperature integral can be computed as \( p_m(x) = \Gamma(-(m+1), x) \) for any \( m \), integer or non-integer and \( 1 \leq x \leq 100 \). Application of the exponential integral, \( E_{m+2} \), is restricted to integer \( m \) values such that \( m \geq -2 \). The incomplete gamma function is preferable to the exponential integral because application of \( E_1 \) to calculate \( p(x) \), although valid, can produce higher relative errors than the other two functions for high \( x \) values.
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